# The Gazette



# of India

# EXTRAORDINARY PART I—Section 1

### PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

## No. 7] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JANUARY 22, 1955

#### MINISTRY OF LAW

#### NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 22nd January 1955

No. F.37(15)/54.L.—In pursuance of Rule 113 of the Representation of the People (Conduct of Elections and Election Petitions) Rules, 1951, the following notice is published for general information:—

Notice is hereby given under Rule 113 of the Representation of the People (Conduct of Elections and Election Petitions) Rules, 1951 that the return of election expenses of Shri David Munzni who had been nominated as a candidate for election to the House of the People from Purnea-cum-Santal Parganas constituency and the declarations in respect thereof have been lodged with the Returning Officer on the 7th January, 1955. These can be inspected at the Office of the Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur between 10-30 a.m. and 5 p.m. on any working day for a fortnight from the publication of this notice in the Gazette on payment of a fee of one rupse.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, BHAGALPUR:

The 8th January, 1955.

M. Mozaffer,
P. A. to Commissioner,
Bhagalpur Division & Assistant
Purnea-cumConstituency
the People.

K. G. AVADHANI, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

#### RESOLUTION

New Delhi, the 22nd January 1955

No. 8(15)-TMP(TM)/54.—By Resolution No. 50(9)-Law (T.M.)/50, dated the 18th November, 1953, the Government of India set up a Trade Marks Enquiry Committee with the following terms of reference:

(i) To consider and report whether any, and if so, what changes in the existing law and practice relating to Trade and Merchandise Marks are desirable:

- (ii) To consider particularly the provisions of the Trade Marks Act, 1940 and the Indian Merchandise Marks Act, 1889 as well as of the Indian Penal Code and the Drugs Act, 1940 and other laws in their relation to infringement and fraudulent imitation of Trade Marks and Merchandise Marks, and to suggest ways and means of dealing more effectively with such anti-social activities;
- (iii) To recommend what measures should be taken to safeguard the registered owners of Indian marks in foreign countries;
- (iv) To examine the working of the Trade Marks Registry and the services rendered to the public and to recommend such changes as may appear desirable;
- (v) To consider and report on any other matter incidental to the Administration of the law of Trade Marks and Merchandise Marks bearing on the development of Indian trade and Industry.
- 2. The Committee, after considering the oral evidence given by interested parties and the Memoranda received from a number of Associations, Bodies and persons in India as well as some from outside India, submitted its Report in April 1954, but the Report was not unanimous. Thereafter it was examined by an Officer on Special Duty. It is considered desirable to have the Report further examined by a judicial authority. The Government of India have accordingly decided to entrust Shri Justice N. Rajagopala Ayyangar, Judge, Madras High Court, with this work and Shri Justice Rajagopala Ayyangar in response to the request made by the Government in this behalf has agreed to take up this work in addition to his own normal duties.

H. V. R. IENGAR, Secy.